Question	Questioner	Question	Question to
Number PQ 1	Mr Leaton, Credenhill Parish Council	For what operational reason does the Council wait until December to publish the base rate? Why does Herefordshire Council not help Parish Council's by publishing the base rate earlier OR change the deadline for submission of the Gross Precept until after the Base Rate is published and Parish Council's have had time to meet and approve its budget and precept?	Cabinet member finance, corporate services and planning
operational cos calculate their In order to calc	st of running the paris budget requirement. culate the council tax	equirements according to the ambition of their own work programmes, the needs of their communi sh council itself. S50 of the Local Government Finance Act does not require Parish Councils to use base, the County Council needs the most accurate information on new homes and any exemption eded to make this calculation is not available to us until close to the end of the calendar year.	e the tax base to
		to all Parish Councils by 31 December in each financial year in sufficient time for parish council me precept calculations for the coming financial year.	eetings in
PQ 2	Mr Kirwan, Bredenbury	A council funded study foresees a footpath/cycle track/bridleway along the former Worcester Leominster railway. There is ambition to embed this proposal into the 2021-2041 Local Plan and Transport Plan. Bredenbury residents provided a corresponding report, highlighting shortfalls in the original	Cabinet member infrastructure and transport
		report: Lack of consideration given to existing residences, businesses and heritage assets along the route; Lack of environmental assessment.	
		Local examples:	
		Our home, Rowden Mill Station, a privately maintained heritage asset, sits directly on the route and is key to our income.	
		Noakes 'Riding School for the Disabled', one of few in the country, sits across the track.	

		To protect property values and business investment confidence, can Cllr Harrington give assurance that this proposal will not be embedded in policy without the caveat that no PRoW will be established without landowner agreement?	
Greenway Comm	unity Interest Cor munity-led feasibi	the former Leominster-Worcester railway has been sponsored by the Worcester Bromyard Leomin npany (CIC). Herefordshire Council (HC), in response to the Hereford Transport Strategy Review lity studies of active travel packages, including two other greenways projects that also seek to follo	in 2020, funded
		d by the three sponsoring bodies' consultants and are aware that there are number of constraints a to understand the practicality and viability of such schemes.	ind issues that
infrastructure sch will undergo an ap into a pipeline of s	emes, that will like ppraisal process t schemes for deliv	ocal Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan (LCWIP) for the county. A large number of walking ar ely include the three greenways, will be considered and reviewed as part of the development of the hat will consider their value for money and deliverability among other criteria, before being prioritis ery over the next 3,5 and 10 years. Clearly, issues such as land ownership, local support, econom lay a key factor in that appraisal process.	e plan. Schemes ed and ranked
ambitions of the H	Hereford Masterpl	be subject to public consultation before the plan is finalised. In addition, the LCWIP will need to sup an, the Local Plan and the Local Transport Plan which will also provide opportunities for public eng reed by the council.	
supported by this	administration wi	around the potential creation of any Greenway, I can give assurance that no routes, or parts of that thout landowner consent. Landowner engagement is crucial for any of the proposed routes to becc any and all policy documents related to Greenways.	
PQ 3	Ms Chavez- Brandon, Bredenbury	The WBL Greenway 'Feasibility Study-Implementation Plan' <u>https://wblgreenway.org.uk/</u> (funded through cabinet portfolio for Infrastructure and Transport) does not contain within its terms of reference any consideration of tourism businesses already contributing to the visitor economy, nor its impact on historical assets (heritage sites at Fencote and Rowden Mill stations, destinations in their own right), nor the impact on private dwellings sitting across the railway alignment or depending on it for access.	Cabinet member environment and economy
		It does not consider existing business infrastructure (impact on farm access / biosecurity), nor include a whole-route ecological assessment (corridor disused for 70 years).	
		All issues concern the Environment and Economy. Can Cllr Chowns assess this report from her portfolio perspective?	

As it stands, any consideration of the proposal being adopted into council policies would have detrimental effects on property values, on business investment confidence, on existing	
ecology.	

#### **Response:**

Through the council's Covid Recovery Plan the council supported two local groups in the county to commission feasibility studies exploring the future potential development of greenways. As such Worcester, Bromyard, Leominster Greenway CIC commissioned and own the feasibility study and have shared the findings with the council. The feasibility study is not an adopted council policy document. The report highlights the significant potential benefits of greenways, improving active travel infrastructure and enhancing the county's tourism offer. However, there is no intention at this stage to make any commitment to implementing the greenway. Any future further development of the idea would be in full consultation with local businesses and landowners.

### **Supplementary Question:**

As the original question is not specifically addressed in the answer provided:

Within her response Cllr Chowns has referenced the WBL Greenway feasibility study: 'highlights the significant potential benefits of greenways' and states 'there is no intention at this stage to make any commitment to implementing the greenway'.

However, this feasibility study may in the future become a component of a consultation process regarding this greenway proposal. It's terms of reference being limited to feasibility and benefits without consideration of negative impacts, should be fully understood in order to properly establish the value of this report in any future consultation.

Does Cllr Chowns recognise that due to its limited terms of reference, this feasibility study does not provide a balanced view on potential benefits versus negative economic, heritage and ecological impacts that implementation of this greenway, as proposed, may impart?

**Response to supplementary question (cabinet member environment and economy)** A written response would be provided after the meeting.

Written response to supplementary question - sent on 23 December

It is acknowledged that the report submitted to the Council regarding the WBL Greenway presents only one side of the case for the creation of a new route for a Greenway.

Should the Council decide that the creation of a Greenway is desirable then any study undertaken will consider both the positive and negative impacts of any such scheme. Whilst the contents of the feasibility report will be considered as a part of that exercise, the team charged with progressing any further report will need to consider the full ramifications of any proposal and I can commit to ensuring that terms of reference for any future work will include a requirement to identify and address any potential negative effects in consultation with all relevant stakeholders.

		Following the Panorama expose, OFSTED report, EGM (30.09.22) and the recent Scrutiny Management Board (28.11.22) I am disappointed at the apparent public denial still prevalent regarding the toxic culture within the council departments. The inability by scrutiny members to publically ask questions relating to the response rate of the employment survey (56%) or ask if open to non permanent members of staff (unconfirmed) leads me to conclude the committee has no grasp on what is important to residents or to deal with the pink elephant in the room	Cabinet member children and families
--	--	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------

when attempting to attract new permanent professionals. I suggest the employment of	
agency/fixed term staff is a DELIBERATE CHOICE to allow the council to avoid accountability	
when facing scrutiny and to ask it to confirm how many disciplinary/dismissal/termination	
actions have been taken in the directorate following the recent /ongoing Children's Services	
Scandal.	

### Response:

We are working hard to build an appropriately skilled, experienced, and permanent workforce to support children and families and we are actively recruiting. Our stated ambition is to become an employer of choice and have recently launched our new recruitment microsite (<u>Home - Spirit of</u> <u>Herefordshire</u>) and introduced a welcome payment for experienced social workers, to boost our efforts in a very challenging national recruitment market.

The reality is that at present we do not have sufficient permanent staff to effectively run our children's services and as such we have to also employ agency staff in the short term. Many of our agency colleagues bring significant skills and experience having worked for other authorities. Where possible we mitigate the impact of agency staff by being able to offer longer term contracts and the flexibility provided by agency social workers has been helpful in managing peaks and troughs in demand within our services.

The recent staff survey referred to in the question was open to agency as well as employed staff. The employment of agency or fixed term staff is unrelated to issues of accountability.

Since Jan 2021, 11 disciplinary, dismissal or termination actions have taken place in the directorate.

# Supplementary Question

The toxic/corrupt culture isn't even addressed in the answer given by the cabinet member nor on your new website– so still the pink elephant is being ignored. No change. The recent HR focused scrutiny meeting is available to watch online and seems to be an extension to the sales pitch video by Paul Walker rather than dealing with what might deter people from applying to work for an organisation that is and remains in disrepute.

The welcome payment and relocation package seems to be a repackaging of the disastrous project to recruit Romanian social workers that not long ago was offered by the council. Your memories may be short but ours (your residents) is not.

Only in September the cabinet member was saying about the lack or limited powers the council has to take against agency workers and is now effectively trying to say this doesn't relate to accountability issues.

In terms of the new staff and agency workers in the past two months would the cabinet member like to comment on the use of Google translate by them in meetings with families and professionals? Or how they can record accurately in such important documentation? Is this really how low your recruitment standards are! You cannot say as members you are not aware of this unacceptable calibre of staff joining your work force. This is the reality at the coal face and gives you a clear understanding. Yet you would feed us lies from the tabletop.

There is no confidence in you now even after all this intervention from your residents. The lack of confidence extends much further than the children's directorate. You should be hanging your heads in shame!

Please confirm how many agency workers in the children's social care directorate left or had contracts terminated since 2021 and how many issues have been referred to social work England (or equivalent) and the police since 2021?

Response to supplementary question (cabinet member children and families)

A written response will be provided.

I would like to say that I think if you look back over what we have said over the past year there is no complacency at all about the work that we have to do. I invite anyone to get in contact with me directly if they would like to have a chat with me.

rs requiring Google translate for themselves. This is however something that may be used with re English is a second language. This might be used in addition to other interpreting services, a re in accordance with professional standards set by the service in accordance with legislative, re to be the case, action is taken via professional supervision to provide support and address capa quired. mains challenging and highly competitive, not just in Herefordshire but most local authorities. T tivity with the launch of a new micro site to improve its visibility, attract applicants and eventually rkforce strategy includes consideration of international recruitment options, and whilst no final of currently being considered. vial workers is an option many local authorities are pursuing. Lessons have been learnt about he h other local authorities and the NHS. All future overseas recruits will of course be subject to ap candidates will be qualified and satisfy the Social Work England regulatory requirements. Ily on agency workers who will have been sourced over recent years from a variety of agencies of the question asking how many have left since 2021 without a manual search of lots of individu of conduct from our agency workers as we do from directly employed staff. Where those stand e any other necessary action, including ending the contract with the agency and/ or notifying So o Social Work England since 2021.	as and when egulatory and ability concerns The service has y become an decisions have ow to do this well, opropriate s so it is not ual systems. We dards fall short
to be the case, action is taken via professional supervision to provide support and address capa quired. mains challenging and highly competitive, not just in Herefordshire but most local authorities. T tivity with the launch of a new micro site to improve its visibility, attract applicants and eventually rkforce strategy includes consideration of international recruitment options, and whilst no final of currently being considered. vial workers is an option many local authorities are pursuing. Lessons have been learnt about he h other local authorities and the NHS. All future overseas recruits will of course be subject to ap candidates will be qualified and satisfy the Social Work England regulatory requirements. Ily on agency workers who will have been sourced over recent years from a variety of agencies of the question asking how many have left since 2021 without a manual search of lots of individu of conduct from our agency workers as we do from directly employed staff. Where those stance e any other necessary action, including ending the contract with the agency and/ or notifying So	ability concerns The service has y become an decisions have ow to do this well, opropriate s so it is not ual systems. We dards fall short
mains challenging and highly competitive, not just in Herefordshire but most local authorities. T tivity with the launch of a new micro site to improve its visibility, attract applicants and eventually rkforce strategy includes consideration of international recruitment options, and whilst no final of currently being considered. Sial workers is an option many local authorities are pursuing. Lessons have been learnt about he h other local authorities and the NHS. All future overseas recruits will of course be subject to ap candidates will be qualified and satisfy the Social Work England regulatory requirements. Ily on agency workers who will have been sourced over recent years from a variety of agencies o the question asking how many have left since 2021 without a manual search of lots of individu of conduct from our agency workers as we do from directly employed staff. Where those stance e any other necessary action, including ending the contract with the agency and/ or notifying So	y become an decisions have ow to do this well opropriate s so it is not ual systems. We dards fall short
tial workers is an option many local authorities are pursuing. Lessons have been learnt about he h other local authorities and the NHS. All future overseas recruits will of course be subject to ap candidates will be qualified and satisfy the Social Work England regulatory requirements. Ily on agency workers who will have been sourced over recent years from a variety of agencies o the question asking how many have left since 2021 without a manual search of lots of individu of conduct from our agency workers as we do from directly employed staff. Where those stand e any other necessary action, including ending the contract with the agency and/ or notifying So	opropriate s so it is not ual systems. We dards fall short
o the question asking how many have left since 2021 without a manual search of lots of individu of conduct from our agency workers as we do from directly employed staff. Where those stand e any other necessary action, including ending the contract with the agency and/ or notifying So	ual systems. We dards fall short
Why are the Taxi policy/conditions on the agenda when it is full of flaws and legal errors?	Cabinet
Conditions must be Reasonable and Necessary many of the conditions do not meet those criteria nor are they in accordance to the Regulatory Code that all councils should be adhering o.	member housing, regulatory services and
The licensing department has already conceded that there will be a need to make amendments for minor changes which they will have the authority to do but the changes are not minor and there are too many for it to be safe to vote on the present set of conditions/policy as they have been presented to you.	community
Can this matter be deferred to prevent strike action that has been called for by the trade	
not cor Ca	t minor and there are too many for it to be safe to vote on the present set of nditions/policy as they have been presented to you.

The policy and conditions have been extensively consulted upon over two separate consultation exercises in December 2021 and latterly August 2022. In addition, the trade were written to only in October asking for any further details, such as mistakes or errors within the policy and its conditions in case these had been missed, but no responses were forthcoming. Both the local and national associations were then chased again only last month

for any additional comments and although responses were received this time, the comments were considered minor and where at all possible the policy and its conditions were amended to accommodate them.

As opportunities had been given for responses beyond the second consultation deadline of 28<sup>th</sup> August, it was determined that no meeting with the Taxi Association was necessary. Likewise it was not considered appropriate to open up a third consultation stage to just part of the trade when the points your Taxi Association had raised had already been considered.

As the original draft of both the policy and its conditions were written by one of the UK's leading taxi licensing specialist lawyers, we are comfortable with its legality. That said and with such a large document, it is possible that there could be some typos and future minor amendments required as legislation alters. Therefore it is normal practice to allow such amendments and corrections to take place by delegated authority, which today's report recommends.

As to the Regulator's Code, having attended the many meetings I am confident that the consultation process was meaningful and transparent and that the second revision will support the trade with an even playing field, whilst also protect the public through a set of fair and risk based documents which follow statutory codes and best practice.

#### Supplementary question:

Why are you voting on something that has not been finalised? It is cheaper to apply for a judicial review of the policy than comply with the conditions in the policy.

There should have been a final meeting with the taxi association.

## Response to supplementary question (cabinet member housing, regulatory services and community)

It is unfortunate that we did not have the final meeting. However there had been discussions with officers and legal services and it was felt at the time that a further meeting would cause problems, particularly in respect of judicial review, as everyone would not have been party to that negotiation. The Policy has been brought to this meeting of the full Council to discuss the issues you are raising. We have continually tried to talk with you and bring in the matters. I'm hoping we can amend or change things as we go through this process.

PQ 6	Ms Allen, Leominster	At the moment there is an acute shortage of taxi drivers, and vehicle numbers are also declining. This is causing not only a problem because there are not enough vehicles to service the needs of School Contracts, but a public safety issue is also arising because of this.	Cabinet member housing,
		Are the council aware that if the Taxi policy that is presented to you today is voted through, there will be further loss in greater numbers? This policy will force more people to rethink their position, and viability of their business.	regulatory services and community

#### **Response:**

Herefordshire Council is aware that that there has been reduction in the number of licensed drivers, although this mirrors the national trend for this area of employment. Nationally it has been widely accepted that this was inevitable after the many Covid lockdowns and business restrictions to the nighttime economy, as taxi drivers moved into alternative areas of employment, like parcel and supermarket deliveries and HGV driving, later choosing not to return to taxis. However, I am pleased to report that recently there are promising signs that this trend is changing as new applications are now above average for this time of year.

PQ 7	Mr Reynolds, Hereford	With the shortage of drivers causing safeguarding issues in Herefordshire, Do councillors believe this proposed policy reduces red tape effectively enough, to encourage new drivers and owners?	Cabinet member housing, regulatory services and community
condition to fei the policy and example, the r	tter this responsibility conditions were alter	ic safety and safeguarding responsibilities above all other considerations. It is not the intention of a v by reducing the mandatory checks required by legislation and its statutory guidance. That said, so red after the second consultation exercise to ensure that existing and new drivers would not be dis ng drivers to sit a knowledge test has reverted to only new drivers and sat navs are now recognise n their duties.	ome elements advantaged. F
PQ 8	Mr Rudge, Hereford	Why in the proposed conditions is it worried about servicing regime of vehicles when we already have strict testing?	Cabinet member housing,

	Mrs Reynolds, Hereford	How can the council pass conditions that include CCTV conditions that licensing staff say will only need the existing systems to be slightly modified when in fact they will all have to be scrapped because according to the Operational Technical Specifications Ref Specification Details as published on the agenda "SD cards will not be acceptable" The specification also records that the systems must incorporate the following "Hard disk with both mechanical anti- vibration and anti-shock mechanism and self-recovery and selfcheck file writing system."	Cabinet member housing, regulatory services and community
		Our current systems operate by SD cards and do not have hard drives.	
		Also I can't seem to find where the CCTV conditions have a lead up period before it comes into force.	
		Warrington council found themselves in much the same situation as Hereford and they had to pay for the replacements.	
system, as the te		disk with both mechanical anti-vibration and anti-shock mechanism and self-recovery and self chec ese past 10 years has now moved on, hence the higher standard. Consultation with the National Pr	•
system, as the te Taxi Association As it is recognise which the conditi The case concer	has also confirme ed that this will plac tion will reflect as a rning Warrington C		ivate Hire and me of 12 months
system, as the te Taxi Association As it is recognise which the conditi The case concer and was not abo	has also confirme ed that this will plac tion will reflect as a rning Warrington C	ese past 10 years has now moved on, hence the higher standard. Consultation with the National Pr d this to be the acceptable national standard. ce a burden upon those already with CCTV, it has been recommended that there will be a lead in ti nd when the policy is presumably adopted later today.	ivate Hire and me of 12 months
system, as the te Taxi Association As it is recognise which the conditi The case concer and was not abo	has also confirme ed that this will plac tion will reflect as a rning Warrington C put the technology. Ms Portman-	ese past 10 years has now moved on, hence the higher standard. Consultation with the National Pred this to be the acceptable national standard. The a burden upon those already with CCTV, it has been recommended that there will be a lead in tight when the policy is presumably adopted later today. The policy clarifies and therefore overcomes this.	ivate Hire and me of 12 months in public use Cabinet
system, as the te Taxi Association As it is recognise which the conditi The case concer	has also confirme ed that this will plac tion will reflect as a rning Warrington C but the technology. Ms Portman- Lewis,	<ul> <li>ese past 10 years has now moved on, hence the higher standard. Consultation with the National Product this to be the acceptable national standard.</li> <li>ce a burden upon those already with CCTV, it has been recommended that there will be a lead in tind when the policy is presumably adopted later today.</li> <li>council concerned the manner in which the CCTV was required to be used when the cabs were not Our policy clarifies and therefore overcomes this.</li> <li>The PR messaging from the Council sits in stark contrast to the lived experience of families affected by the historic and ongoing inadequacy of Children's Services.</li> <li>Families contacting the careconcerns helpline have still not had responses and for most there</li> </ul>	ivate Hire and me of 12 months in public use Cabinet member children and

Our response to the Panorama programme included an apology by The Chief Executive, the Corporate Director for Children and Young People, the Leader of Herefordshire Council and the Cabinet member for Children's and Family Services. This apology was shared with the media and published on our <u>website</u>.

We believe that all families who have contacted Care Concerns who provided their contact details have been responded. A number of families did not identify themselves and as such a response was not possible. Cases raised were reviewed by independent reviewers and these reviews informed the Local Authority's response. At present we are not aware of any families for whom a response has not been forthcoming but anyone who believes that a response is still owed to them is welcome to contact myself or the Corporate Director for Children and Yong People, and we will look into this.

Gladys Rhodes White OBE has been appointed as Herefordshire's Improvement Adviser and the Secretary of State has appointed Eleanor Brazil as the Commissioner for Children's Services in Herefordshire. Both are independent to the Local Authority.

### Supplementary question:

I contacted the care concern helpline myself on 28/4/22 - no acknowledgment or response. I followed this up several weeks later, still no response. Your answer seeks to locate the blame onto the public in cases where there was no response, by suggesting the public did not leave their details. This is not a plausible suggestion: you cannot email the care concerns line without leaving a contact email address. If anyone wanted to respond to my care concerns email, they simply had to press "reply".

When I had no response, I brought my case again to my MP, Sir Bill Wiggin. He wrote directly to the Chief Executive on 18 May 2022. Still no response. In October I emailed Darryl Freeman and Paul Walker directly – no substantive response. I have copied Cllr Toynbee in several times no response at all. I have added in desperation Eleanor Brazil after approaching her directly at the Public meeting held by "A Common Bond " Protest group where Ms Eleanor Brazil on hearing my raised concerns advised me to email so I dutifully did just this making contacted yet again with the LA & copied her in where she did in fact informed me she would not be responding directly to my email . It was further explained to myself that it is not her remit, nor that of Gladys Rhodes White, to deal with families directly.

I can evidence all of the above with an email trail.

After the Ofsted report was published it was stated on the BBC Midlands news that families impacted by the inadequate Children's Services would receive a written apology. To date I know of no family who has received a written apology. Through A Common Bond, I know many other families who are still struggling to have their concerns dealt with.

So, since it is not the job of either Eleanor Brazil or Gladys Rhodes White to deal with the legacy of historic failure, my original question is unanswered. Let me repeat it.

Is it not time for an independent body to be set up to deal fairly, competently and urgently with the families affected by historic inadequacy? Response to supplementary question (cabinet member children and families);

I can't speculate on any recommendations that Eleanor Brazil might make and I can't comment on particular cases. The Director of Children's Services is following up on this case and it's important that our complaints procedures are made clear to everyone. I am happy to meet with members of the public to chat.

	Mr Lane, Weobley	The current licensing policy has decimated the licensed industry though-out the rural areas and market towns of Herefordshire. Could it please be explained how this new policy will benefit rural Herefordshire and help elderly or less mobile residents?	Cabinet member housing, regulatory services and community
lockdowns. So	I differ on your view	been in place since 2019 and a notable reduction in drivers had not been observed until the rece that our current policy decimated the licensed industry, as we believe it was the impact of Covid of brought numbers down. This was a phenomenon mirrored nationally.	
majority of issu test has reverte	ues which the trade p	s today is adopted then this shortage in drivers should not be worsened, as the latest revision has erceived to be burdensome in the first draft. For example, the requirement for existing drivers to si s, sat navs are now recognised as being appropriate and most other requirements have returned t ated.	t a knowledge
in vehicles which the county so t choose not to r	ich the elderly and ou that drivers can opera routinely operate in th	ges have been issued to non-wheel chair access vehicles and as a consequence we have seen a r less mobile residents can use. At about this time, the council also implemented government guid ate all over the county rather than in their former district council areas. As a consequence, some due me more rural areas due to travelling times, selecting instead to start or finish their journeys in the c	lance to de-zone rivers inevitably
	Whilst we licence all with statutory guidance	taxi drivers and their vehicles, the Council cannot control how the businesses operate in terms of a ce.	
		, ,	2

targeted support provided by the local authority and other agencies (such as health services, for example). At the moment a significant proportion of early help support offered is council led. We are working with our partners to strengthen early help provision where a partner agency is the lead.

Earlier this year we undertook significant work and "Talk Community" are bringing Herefordshire together, by connecting people to services, groups, community hubs, events, and information to help them stay well. The Talk Community <u>website</u> is available resource which includes relevant links and signposts for families to self-refer and seek help and support without necessarily the involvement of services if required.

The budget for Early Help for 2022/23 is £1,170,596; in addition to this the budget to commission services within the Early Help arena is £166,000. The budget for 2023/24 is currently being reviewed and will be submitted to the Council early in the New Year.

### Supplementary question:

During Covid families that had accessed support via universal services and were due to attend courses were advised the same courses had been cancelled. During a time of lockdowns and limited support network access universal services stopped. Your response accepts this service is council led and yet you left us abandoned as no alternative was offered. To this date the organisations that cancelled have not attempted to try contacting the families to offer the first spaces, this is significant failures to the families affected. The courses offered was the responsibility of the council, the council that took 12 months to offer an online alternative in March 2021. During this covid period the families were still expected by children's services to achieve the same outcomes pre-covid, showing a lack of humanity.

Talk community has never been mentioned by a midwife, GP services during pregnancy or MH appointments or by any social worker before adoption was pursued for my son. Nor has it been offered during my son's placement with a prospective adopter. The truth is there is still a lack of understanding by the council and failure to recognise its own missed opportunities even in recent months

Given the social stigma attached following losing a child via forced adoption or having a child removed has the council considered the likelihood of birth families retreating from going to any of the aforementioned group/hub places etc? Have you even asked if any would? Do you agree that you missed opportunities to have had a person from talk community at the EGM or the public meeting to help raise awareness and offer support to families? Can you tell the public how many times children's services has used parents attending course as disguised compliance since 2018?

## Response to supplementary question (cabinet member children and families)

I empathise with the frustration behind the question and it was frustrating that services were disrupted during covid. It's important that we get back to maximum face to face work. As far as Talk Community is concerned I agree with the need to be clear about what support is available in communities whether it is NHS, Home-Start and other services. Talk Community is making progress on that and we need to continue particularly with Partners, Herefordshire Community Partnership is always keen to hear from people about what they need, please get in touch with them and me about the services you need. A written response would be provided.

Written response to supplementary question – sent on 8 February 2023

Unfortunately the pandemic has had a significant impact on society, including families and their support networks. Many services, including universal services and those offered by a variety of organisations, were affected, with some being withdrawn at very short notice and some taking time to recover and get re-established.

The council acknowledges that the impact of the pandemic has affected family resilience and it has worked hard to maintain our own services and to support our partners and other service providers to re-establish provision as quickly as possible.

Where this has not been possible, alternative options have been explored, and adjustments made, including on line alternatives to face-to-face training. It is acknowledged that these alternatives may not have suited everyone; we understand that breaks in provisions are disruptive for families and that as we responded to changing circumstances in the pandemic, we did not always get things right.

The decision for a child to be removed from their birth parent and to be adopted is never undertaken lightly. Such is the seriousness and impact of this decision, it is not something the local authority can do without making application to court. Talk Community should have been mentioned by partner professionals during pregnancy or since a child has been placed with a prospective adoptive parent, and we are remedying this through our relationship work and the focus in recent months of creating more awareness of Talk Community among agencies.

Parents of children subject to care proceedings will be legally represented. The Judge considers all available evidence before making a decision about permanence arrangements, including adoption, for a child, and will comment and address any concerns about alleged missed opportunities for offering support to a parent.

The loss a parent feels when a child is removed and is subsequently adopted is likely to be traumatic, and it is fully appreciated that this may result in parents retreating from some sources of support. Adoption and its impact on birth parents is a specialist area of practice and appropriate support services are provided in line with work of the Regional Adoption Agency (ACE).

We will continue to raise with ACE the importance of awareness around the situation of parents who have experienced a child/ren being adopted. The council doesn't collect data about parents attending courses and where signs of 'disguised compliance' may be considered to be a feature, although evidence of this would be a part of a social work assessment.

PQ 13	Name and	On the Council's website <a href="https://www.herefordshire.gov.uk/social-care-support/protect-">https://www.herefordshire.gov.uk/social-care-support/protect-</a>	Cabinet
	address	someone it says:	member
	supplied	If your family has been affected by peer-on-peer abuse, there is local support available. <u>Children First Family Mediation</u> is an independent family mediation service who offer confidential, sensitive support to Herefordshire families and individuals who have been affected by peer-on-peer abuse. To access the service please contact Sheena Adam by email <u>admin@childrenfirstfamilymediation.org.uk</u> and quote "Herefordshire".	children and families
		<b>Children First Family Mediation (CFFM)</b> does not offer support for families dealing with peer- on-peer sexual abuse. This was pointed out to Council in July. CFFM have since offered to mediate between the Council and families affected by peer-on-peer abuse failings, but officers have not been willing to come to the table.	
Pagnanagi		Why is this misleading message still on the Council's website? It puts the Council in a good light at the expense of the truth and families.	

## **Response:**

Children First Family Mediation is a charity based in the North of England whose core offering is mediation where parents have separated. They were engaged some time ago by the then Director of Education, Skills and Learning.

Since this time, Children First Family Mediation have been approached once in respect of Herefordshire; this contact did not result in a service being offered. The information on the website was out of date and we apologise for this.

Our website has since been amended, removing the paragraph referencing Children First Family Mediation. The website will shortly be updated with other available support options.

#### Supplementary Question

I asked my question about the mediation service to find out whether there is any substance to the claims in the draft improvement plan for Children's Services about a new era of openness and accountability. What I have learnt from the partial truths in the written answer is that sadly, there is no new era of openness or accountability.

In July's Full Council I raised concerns that the careconcerns helpline was not working. I also pointed out that the mediation service for families - promised back in 2020 - did not exist. After that meeting, the Chief Executive wrote to you all to reassure you that the mediation service was in place.

It isn't. Why? Because Council officers will not come to the table. The mediation service manager asked Council officers to arrange a mediation session, but she, like the public, was left dangling. There is no mediation service because officers are not interested in mediation with the public. Since 2020 when the promise of mediation was first made, the public, and Councillors, have been led a merry dance, which once again has resulted in unfulfilled commitments. Once again, the blame is dumped on an officer who has left and no apology is forthcoming. It is not the job of Gladys Rhodes White or Eleanor Brazil to deal with the legacy of harmful practice. You, our elected representatives, need to decide if you want this Council to meet the needs of the families already harmed.

Alongside the draft improvement plan, an action plan is needed to show how and when the needs of the families harmed by long years of inadequate practice will be met, and to bring to an end the ongoing hostility to families who raise concerns.

Will Councillors please insist that alongside the draft improvement plan, there is a coherent and funded plan for dealing with the enormous and tragic legacy of years of inadequate services, and that responsibility for this is removed from the current leadership who have lost the trust of the families harmed?

**Response to supplementary question (cabinet member children and families)** A written response would be provided.

## Written response to supplementary question – sent on 8 February 2023

Yes – councillors, together with the current leadership who have done much to shine a light on past practice in the service and to put in place an improvement plan that addresses these are fully committed to working through the issues raised by families with whom we have worked in the past, and moving forward to work with families in a more restorative way.

This will be made possible by balancing continuity, fresh management teams, and independent perspectives. It is not enough to listen – we have to put in place systems that are clear, effective, and lead to real learning. This is very overdue in Herefordshire, and is taking longer than any of us would want.

The Cabinet Member and the Director have each offered to meet privately with families who wish to do so and will publish our draft listening to Families approach in the March 2023. Plans are also being developed to provide an external and independent forum to consider the legacy of inadequate services for some families and when it reports, we shall consider the funding and resources required in moving forward.

PQ 14	Mr Starling,	Regarding the new taxi licensing conditions	Cabinet
	Weobley		member
		It appears the new age restrictions on minibuses / Wheelchair accessible vehicles that can	housing,
		carry multiple wheelchairs could drastically impact the fulfilment of home to school transport for	regulatory

		SEN service users. These are specialist vehicles and lessening the lifespan of this vehicle type will make it financially unviable to operate them. How does the council propose to ensure their service users will not be unfairly impacted and will still be able to access the appropriate transport they are entitled to?	services and community
for an extension	on of this age. This	ehicles is 15 and there is also condition 41 for Hackneys which allows for vehicles in good condition t is because both safeguarding and public safety are considered priorities to the Council and the age d to be key to this aim as older vehicles can be more unreliable and need more checks.	
PQ 15	Mr Rowe, Hereford	<ul> <li>It is obvious to the families who have come together raising concerns to the council and central government about the underhanded and dodgy practices going on in Herefords Children services, the same names keep coming up again and again.</li> <li>There was a lot of finger pointing at the EGM and councillors claiming they understood how we feel. You cannot understand how we feel you have never been through it. Anyone who has in the past, held the position currently held by Toynbee or feels an apology is sufficient clearly needs to resign.</li> <li>Are the omissions of key information isolated to practices within the children's services directorate or have the legal team been complicit given Keehans judgement. Is anyone asking this about legal and is legal advice being supplied by external resources given the known potential for incorrect advice.</li> </ul>	Cabinet member children and families
bur past. Cour stability, solidir legal Services hrough their la decisions in re This year we'v vithin the Chil Social workers The capacity, particularly sp	ncillors resigning fo ty, commitment, an s act on the direct i awyers and all part spect of children, t re done a lot of wor dren's Legal Team s at Herefordshire ( structure, and man ecialist advice is re	gement on matters of practice, performance and our efforts to improve services are greater now than r acknowledging longstanding shortcomings is not necessarily helpful to children and families. What id long-term thinking instruction of Children's Services. The evidence in care proceedings is always presented to the court ies have the opportunity to challenge that evidence and present their own evidence. It is the court the based on the evidence and information presented. It is the court and information presented. It is brought greater levels of support and supervision to lawyers in the team. Council have access to expert legal advice at all times (including through the provision of an out-of-brougement oversight of the legal team, and legal advice (which is mostly provided internally other than quired) was reviewed following the 2021 High Court Judgement, and through the activity of the Corpord will be kept under review, alongside other services working together to safeguard children and your	we need now is and families at makes anagement ours service). where orate Leadersh

Supplementary Q	Justion		
		o I hardly expected support for my question and statement.	
		ur reasoning here, if applying the rationale used in children's court, the risk of future or emotional l	harm is
		ly loose their children even though they can offer stability, solidity, commitment, and long-term thir	
	•	ie shouldn't apply to you!	ining. Why are
		e restrictions on legal aid mean that the local authority has the upper hand. From a humane level t	he LA is not
		ne afflictions families do. The final hearing is the only time families can truly be considered to have	
		n given by the judge and availability of the court and the questions asked and can take placed in the	
		lings is granted to the professionals brought in who are briefed by the children's services of their v	
meaning they get t	•		
Please be advised	I that social worke	r opinion even in the face of contradictory evidence is still held in high regard by the judiciary and	parents can be
told they are too in	telligent to be trut	hful with professionals. Opinions offered by social workers that ignore evidence in the formation o	f that opinion are
shared with psych	ologists, legal gua	ardians, medical experts and more. It is clear the social workers at Hereford take a heavy handed a	approach and
-		narrative. This must be a decision made outside of legal advice as any solicitors obligation is to the	
-	-	lose information that may not fit the children's services narrative. Do not be fooled this is a delibera	ate choice by the
directorate to not o			
		firm how many members of your legal team have left or been dismissed since Keehans judgemen	t in 2018 to
date and if any me	embers of staff hav	ve cited issues with children's services not consulting them as reasons for leaving.	
Bosponso to sup	nlomontory quor	stion (cabinet member children and families)	
A written response			
A whiten response			
Written response t	to supplementary	guestion – sent on 9 February 2023	
	<u>e cappiententary</u>	quotion of of of of any 2020	
Since the 1 <sup>st</sup> judgr	nent handed dowl	n by Justice Keehan on 1 <sup>st</sup> February 2018 (HCC v AB v CD [2018 EWFC 10])) 24 staff members h	ave left HC's
children's legal tea			
The number of sta	ff members who h	have left is comprised of 17 agency staff and 7 permanent staff.	
	a number of reaso	ons, no one has been dismissed, but we cannot disclose the reasons for members of staff leaving	as it is their
personal data.			
DO 10	Ma Anatav	Following the public meeting with families relating to the inadequately assessed Children's	Cabinat
PQ 16	Ms Anstey,	Services department (20.10.22), a common theme emerged that the complaint process is not	Cabinet
	Hereford	fit for purpose. Complaints are often brushed off, concerns about staff ignored, complaints not	member
		being fully responded to or prolonged. There was also a pattern raised that the council fails to	children and families
		accept accountability especially if there is an issue of liability involved. This lead commissioner	Iallines
		Eleanor Brazil committed to look into the complaint process within the council as part of her	
		assessment.	
		Given the experiences of members of the public have those effected come to the correct	
		conclusion, this is a deliberate tactic to indirectly hope we go away quietly/arrogance the	

		council cannot get anything wrong, and if not what assurances can be given that action is being taken to tackle the above issues/concerns?	
<b>Response:</b> Families havir one of our top		responses is not acceptable, and improving our complaints procedures, and making them clear	to everyone, is
		as now been added to our structures, and a new, permanent Complaints Manager is now in posing and guidance in order to be able to resolve issues sooner and more effectively.	st. Managers are
processes). W		Team, and will soon be signing off renewed and reviewed policies (for Corporate and Statutory hese changes will contribute to better quality responses, and that, as we continue to do better ir r complaint will arise.	
	oncerns the complain	ts procedure. Having worked for the local authority I would just like to ask if all staff are being ful longer used in the social care offices?	lly trained and the
		stion (cabinet member children and families) ng was provided. A written response would be provided.	
Written respoi	nse to supplementary	question – sent on 8 February 2023	
from the Infori Manager. Aloi	mation Governance T ngside the Council's ir	s, and monitoring training, have been priorities of this administration, and the Complaints Team Team. The Complaints Team comprises 5 fully trained Complaints Officers and one newly appoin Induction, officers also receive training about complaints policy and procedures, complaint hand The Complaints Team provide on-going advice, guidance and support to the service teams res	nted Complaints ing, complaint
The phrase us would be disc		ould be totally unacceptable if used, and not in line with the ethos now being built in the council.	Anyone using it
PQ 17	Mr Castledine, Hereford	Can I ask who wrote condition number 46?	Cabinet member
		'There are 2 types of vehicles that can be licensed as Hackney carriages, those that are wheelchair friendly and those that are not.' This, to my mind is a ridiculous statement.	housing, regulatory services and community

Your version of the condition is actually slightly different to that stated in your question, in that the proposed version of condition 46 presented to members today reads "There are 2 different types of vehicle that can be licensed as hackney carriages: those which are Wheelchair Accessible Vehicles (WAVs) and those which are not ".

This condition was drafted for us by one of the UK's leading lawyers on taxi licensing to explain the reasoning behind why some saloon cars have remained in the hackney fleet whereas all new hackneys have to be wheelchair accessible. This condition has remained in the final version as it was considered to be informative.

### Supplementary Question:

In addition to my first question regarding section 46 of the proposed new terms and conditions for the taxi trade, if the councillors deem this as a badly written statement do they perhaps agree the whole document is flawed and needs more time to correct such points?

## Response to supplementary question (cabinet member housing, regulatory services and community)

If the Policy is adopted today we would like to think that taxi trade and officers will continue to communicate if it becomes obvious that this is leading to a significant shortage of taxi drivers. Policy would not be undone however as the head of public protection and director of environment and economy may consider options to amend any conditions that might be thought to be unreasonable restricting potential license applications providing that this does not impact negatively on public safety. However I have every belief that the proposed Policy offers a clear, transparent and robust licensing regime to encourage new applications to the profession whilst keeping our public safe at all times.

PQ 18	Ms Reid, Hereford	At 30 September 2022, there is forecast <u>overspend of £5.2 million</u> for Looked After Children (LAC). In 2020-21 the rate of LAC (per 10,000 children) was 87.0 (109 in October 2022), Statistical Neighbours rate was 60.2. The rate of babies taken into care in Herefordshire was 6.7 (2021-22) compared to Statistical Neighbour rate of 4.6 (2020-21), <u>nearly 50% more</u> . No data was provided for Herefordshire in 2020-21. Children unnecessarily in care is very expensive compared with much cheaper family support, is detrimental to children and results in bad publicity (eg <i>Panorama</i> ). Sufficiency Strategy (sufficient foster and children's home placements) is a Corporate Risk and reducing LAC would mitigate this risk plus that of "losing" Children's Services.	Cabinet member children and families
Response:	cost per child/youn	g person in Q1/Q2 was £21,870 – this equates to an average cost per young person of £841 per wee	k

Please see below how this cost has been arrived at:

# 2022/23 Q1 & Q2

In-House Fostering & Staying Put

Amount

1,360,261	177	
External Fostering		
Amount	No of Children	
2,077,181	107	
Residential		
Amount	No of Children	
4,223,305	50	
Supported Accommodation	ı	
Amount	No of Children	
1,133,140	56	
External Staying Put		
Amount	No of Children	
19,520	5	
In-House Supported Lodgin	ngs	
Amount	No of Children	
109,740	13	
Total Average cost		
8,923,147	408	
Average Cost for Q1 & 2	21,870	
Average Weekly Cost	841	

which children come into our care has been reducing over the past year and we are developing a reunification framework to assess and support children and young people who are able to return home to the care of their parents/families when it is safe and in their best interest to do so.

#### **Supplementary Question**

I'm afraid that yet again a public question has <u>not</u> been <u>fully</u> answered. I asked for data relating to 2021-22 to show the trend. Please <u>disclose</u> it. The average cost for each child was £21,870 for Q1 and Q2, therefore, for one year would be about £43,740 which could provide support for many families. I consider this understates as it's assumed that each child would be eg fostered continuously and "Staying Put" is included but is for adults not children.

The draft Improvement Plan states about reunification:

"Scoping of framework underway with draft due February 2023"

In view of the high costs and rate of LAC do you consider this is acting at pace?

Also I consider plans for Family Group Conferences and family support are not at pace and latter is not a Measure that Matters (targeted).

## Response to supplementary question (cabinet member children and families)

We are moving forward as fast as we can to put family group conferences in place. I agree that they are very positive but it does require a lot of work and preparation. A written response would be provided.

Written response to supplementary question - sent on 20 December:

Please find below the placement costings which now include data for 2021/22 as requested

In respect the development of a reunification framework, we need to ensure that this work is robust and aligns well with our other processes and procedures such as our Permanence Policy and Procedures. Producing a draft of the framework by February 2023 is seen as a realistic timeframe to achieve this.

Regarding your question about Family Group Conferences. There are a number of different models and approaches to address this and these need to be explored to ensure that the most appropriate approach for Herefordshire is progressed. The Measures that Matter were designed as a broad set of indicators to provide a high level overview of the progression of the Improvement Plan. The exclusion of any area of the Improvement Plan from the Measures that Matter does not indicate that this area receives less attention or is not progressed with vigour.

# <u>2021/22</u>

#### In-House Fostering & Staying Put

Amount

	No of Children	
2,375,418		199

#### **External Fostering**

Amount		No of Children	
	3,818,968		128

Residentia	al			
Amount		No of Childr	en	
	7,265,637		61	
Supported	d Accommo	dation		
Amount		No of Childr	en	
	1,831,615		52	
External S	Staying Put			
Amount		No of Childr	en	
	117,337		7	
In-House	Supported L	odgings		
Amount		No of Childr	en	
	319,341		24	
Total Ave	rage cost			
	15,728,316		471	
Average Y	Yearly Cost		33,393	
Average V				
Cost			642	
<u>2022/23 (</u>	<u>Q1 &amp; Q2</u>			
	Fostering &	Staying		
Put				
Amount		1,360,261	No of Children	177
		1,300,201		1//
External F	Fostering			
Amount			No of Children	107
		2,077,181		107

Residential			
Amount	4,223,305	No of Children 50	
Supported Accor Amount	mmodation	No of Children	
	1,133,140	56	
External Staying Amount	Put	No of Children	
Amount	19,520	5	
<b>In-House Suppo</b> Amount	rted Lodgings 109,740	No of Children 13	
Total Average co	ost 8,923,147	408	
Average Cost for Average Weekly		21,870 841	
PQ 19	Mr Barnett- Jones, Hereford	With the new regulations that are coming in are there any exceptions for SEN school transpor 8 seaters? With the new rules it would take almost of the school busses off the road and won't be financially viable to replace as that's all they get used for.	Cabinet member housing, regulatory services and community

PQ 20	Mr Juckes, Hereford	Are Council aware that the national Taxi and private hire association have found dozens of errors/flaws with the Taxis policy being voted upon? I've been a taxi driver in Hereford for the past 24 years and yet over recent years it's been	Cabinet member housing, regulatory
		increasingly difficult to deal with the licensing department and their draconian attitude. I and many others are trying to do a job and provide a public service.	services and community
		Are Council aware that the policy, as it currently stands, will make not only myself but a great number of drivers and operators reconsider their position causing a far greater public safety issue than we are already faced with?	
		Furthermore it needs mentioning that we drivers and operators rely on our jobs to survive! We've already lost a number of drivers because of the prospect of this policy coming into force.	

### **Response:**

I am aware that a number of corrections were made to the external solicitor's first draft following over 80 people commenting in the first consultation round. I am also aware that the officers proposed around 50 key changes in the second draft, which were all to the betterment of the trade. It is worth noting that following the second consultation round only two people responded and therefore only a few minor changes were needed – this is all documented in the appendices to the report and shows that we listened and took note. If there are any further minor amendments later found to be needed then the report recommends that the Head of Service has delegated authority to make these.

I really do appreciate how the trade needs our support which is why I have taken such a close and personal role in the two consultation processes as well as the various meetings with the Taxi Association. However, the licensing team's role is to regulate and administer the licensing functions and the legislation and its statutory guidance provides the remit within which they can operate. To help ease the burden on drivers further, the Council is now looking at how improvements can be made for applications to be made electronically which may assist in the future in speed by modernising how contact is made with the Council.

However, I cannot stress enough that public safety and safeguarding are the priority for the Council, although due to our recent changes I anticipate that the policy will not be over burdensome. I am also pleased to report that the number of new driver applications currently appears to be increasing.

I accept that there is a national shortage within many employment sectors, including the taxi and private hire trade. However, it is fair to say that many drivers left the profession to take up other employment as a consequence of the Covid emergency which effectively shut down the night time economy and therefore their business – but this was a national phenomenon, not a local one.

PQ 21	Mr N Maddy, Hereford	Apparently, I am only able to ask one question, so this is my one question. In light of the fact that the changes to Taxi licensing terms and conditions will reduce the amount of taxi's available in Hereford and will certainly reduce wheelchair accessible taxi's as they are far more expensive to replace and will cause school transport to pay parents to take their children to school increasing the need for the family car also it means that less taxi's mean vulnerable people are more at risk at night as they will be unable to get a taxi home. Are the councillor's fully aware of the negative impact to the public and the increase of the carbon footprint that	Cabinet member housing, regulatory services and community
-------	-------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------

		these terms and conditions will lead to?	
operate as a busi	iness. It is not exp	protect the public and our most vulnerable members of society, balancing this with the need for th pected that this policy and its conditions will increase the carbon footprint or reduce the number of li- tored to ensure any changes are reported upon.	
	en that the numbe	er of taxes are reduced and the general public are more at risk and the carbon footprint does increated he damage they have done in these terms and conditions?	se which it will.
		estion (cabinet member housing, regulatory services and community) more damage to what we are doing and I do hope that we encourage more applications for the pro	fession.
PQ 22	Mr Davies, Hereford	Could the cabinet member please explain how less abled and SEN service users will continue to access mandatory council supplied transport? Unfortunately the current and proposed licensing conditions could result with no financially viable options for replacing specialist wheelchair accessible vehicles especially minibuses. We are a Local Business and this is greatly concerning. We have already had no option but to give notice on one SEN contract operated for Herefordshire council, due to this. I have numerous taxi plates and drivers licensed with the authority. However unless we can make it easier to license specialist wheelchair vehicles I will eventually have no choice to surrender all my plates and terminate further contracts.	Cabinet member housing, regulatory services and community
	e. However, safeg	icles is 15 although there is also a condition which allows for vehicles in good condition to be cons guarding and public safety remains a priority for the Council and the age ranges of vehicles are cor	
PQ 23	Mr T Maddy, Hereford	<ul> <li>Due to the inconvenience the licensing authority have given to all operators that own a taxi in Herefordshire.</li> <li>Should we not receive some type of compensation from the council that have caused us to spend thousands, on fitting CCTV systems into each vehicle? Which turned out to not even meet the legal requirements at the time.</li> <li>With this new proposed taxi policy this will cause even more of an upset to operators in Herefordshire. Even potentially closing down parts of the private sector due to these on going unnecessary expenses.</li> </ul>	Cabinet member housing, regulatory services and community

Possible grant funding options were explored but were unsuccessful. The implementation of CCTV will allow a period of 12 months to enable the costs to be planned and accounted for as a business cost. The quotes received for adaption or for new units are well below the figure mentioned in your question.

.

.